

EMORY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

> Department of Medicine

Optimizing SAVE Score for Intraoperative Complications

<u>Michael L. Cheng¹</u>, Marjorie Howard², Suprateek Kundu² and Divya Gupta³

Emory School of Medicine¹, Rollins School of Public Health – Data Analytics and Biostatistics Core², Emory University Department of Medicine - Division of Cardiology³

Study Objectives:

- Validate SAVE Score as a tool for predicting in-hospital survival for cardiogenic shock patients requiring VA-ECMO therapy.
- Identify additional factors that can predict prognosis in this patient population.

Conflicts of Interest: None

Introduction:

- Veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA ECMO) is an effective support therapy for refractory cardiogenic shock and can be used as a bridge to more definitive therapy¹
- VA ECMO has high rates of complications and requires considerable financial and human resources². Selecting patients most likely to benefit from ECMO is controversial
- The Survival After Veno-arterial ECMO (SAVE) Score is a scoring system developed by Schmidt et al. as a tool to predict survival for patients receiving ECMO therapy for cardiogenic shock³.
- SAVE score is calculated using 13 parameters: age, weight, etiology of cardiogenic shock, acute/chronic renal failure, HCO₃, duration of intubation prior to ECMO initiation, peak inspiratory pressure, pre-ECMO cardiac arrest, diastolic BP, pulse pressure, liver failure, and CNS dysfunction.

Validating SAVE Score:

We retrospectively reviewed all cardiogenic shock patients who received VA-ECMO therapy at our institution between 2016 – 2019, collecting patient variables, ECMO data, calculated SAVE scores and patient outcomes. We identified 66 cardiogenic shock patients treated with VA-ECMO and stratified them by SAVE score risk categories and measured survival to discharge.

Survival to discharge status by SAVE score category

Risk Category	V	IV	111	II	l	
SAVE Score	-10 and below	-9 to -5	-4 to 0	1 to 5	> 5	AI
Predicted	18%	30%	42%	58%	75%	
Survival	(2.34/13)	(7.5/25)	(8.4/20)	(4.06/7)	(0.75/1)	
Emory	30.8%	28%	40.0%	57.1%	0%	34
(Overall)	(4/13)	(7/25)	(8/20)	(4/7)	(0/1)	(2

The p-value from a chi-square test (4 degrees of freedom, and significance level = 0.05) was **0.739**. This means that there is no significant difference in the predicted survival and our observed survival.

Intraoperative Complication Patients

Patients with intraoperative complication causing hemodynamic compromise as the etiology of their cardiogenic shock were identified and their survival to discharge rates calculated.

Survival in Intraoperative Complication Patients vs. Overall

Risk Category	V	IV	111	II	I	
SAVE Score	-10 and below	-9 to -5	-4 to 0	1 to 5	>5	Al
Emory	30.8%	28%	42%	58%	0%	34
(Overall)	(4/13)	(7/25)	(8/20)	(4/7)	(0/1)	(2
Emory	0%	0%	33.33%	50%	-	22
(Intraop)	0/3)	(0/4)	(3/9)	(1/2)		(4

Observed survival rates for intraoperative complication patients at our institution were lower than predicted and overall observed in every risk category, suggesting this patient population may be sicker than reflected in their original SAVE score.

4.85% 23/66)

4.85% 23/66) 2.22% 1/18)

Modified SAVE Score:

-2 SAVE Score modifier applied to patients with an intraoperative complication as the etiology of their cardiogenic shock

Survival to Discharge Status by Modified SAVE Score

Risk Category	V	IV		II	l
SAVE Score	-10 and below	-9 to -5	-4 to 0	1 to 5	> 5
Predicted Survival	18% (2.7/15)	30% (7.5/25)	42% (7.56/18)	58% (4.06/7)	75% (0.75/1)
Emory (Overall)	30.8% (4/13)	28% (7/25)	42% (8/20)	58% (4/7)	0% (0/1)
Emory (Overall) (Modified)	26.7% (4/15)	28% (7/25)	44.4% (8/18)	57.1% (4/7)	0% (0/1)

The **p-value from a chi-square test** (4 degrees of freedom, and significance level = 0.05) was **0.739**. This means that there is no significant difference in the predicted survival and our observed survival.

Conclusions:

The survival rates predicted by SAVE score were not statistically different from the observed rates of the patient population at our institution. SAVE score may be a valid tool for predicting in-hospital survival for cardiogenic shock patients requiring VA-ECMO therapy.

Applying a negative score modifier to intraoperative complication patients brought measured survival rates closer to predicted, suggesting this etiology of cardiogenic shock may portends worse survival than reflected in original SAVE score. Further study to identify patterns of complications and survival may aid in more accurate risk stratification.

References:

[1]: Connolly SJ. Evidence-Based Analysis of Amiodarone Efficacy and Safety. Circulation. 1999; 100:2025-2034. [2]: Alonso, et al. Association of amiodarone use with acute pancreatitis in patients with atrial fibrillation: a nested case-control study. JAMA Intern Med. 2015 Mar; 175(3): 449-450. [3]: Vorperian, et al. Adverse effects of low dose amiodarone: a meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1997 Sep;

30(3):791-8 [4]: Stanten R, , Frey CF. Comprehensive management of acute necrotizing pancreatitis and pancreatic abscess. Arch Surg1990;125:1269-74; discussion 1274-5



All 34.85% (23/66) 34.85% (23/66)

