Predicting Cardiac Structural and Functional Improvement Induced by Mechanical Unloading in Chronic Heart Failure: a Derivation-Validation Multicenter Study

Taleb I, Wever-Pinzon O, Yin M, Kfoury A, Caine W, Stehlik J, Catino A, Wever-Pinzon J, Bonios M, McKellar S, Alharethi R, Koliopoulou A, Fang J, Selzman C, Dranow E, Shah P, Singh R, Psotka M, Zhu W, Slaughter M, Birks E, Koenig S, Kanwar M, Kyvernitakis A, Hoffman K, Guglin M, Kotter J, Campbell K, Drakos S UTAH Cardiac Tx Program, INOVA, U of Louisville, Allegheny, U of Kentucky







BACKGROUND

Predicting cardiac structural and functional improvement in advanced heart failure (HF) patients before durable left ventricular assist device (LVAD) implantation remains challenging.

OBJECTIVE

Identifying clinical predictors could improve patient selection and impact clinical management.

METHODS

Advanced chronic HF patients (N=652) supported with continuous-flow LVADs were evaluated.

Acute HF etiologies or inadequate post-LVAD follow up (<3 months) were exclusion criteria.

Patients were divided into an internal Derivation cohort (n=339, UTAH program) and an external Validation cohort (n=227, 4 US programs).

Responders (R): post-LVAD LVEF \geq 40% and LVIDd ≤ 6.0 cm within the 1st year postimplant.

Multivariate Cox regression was used to predict R, in the Derivation cohort, and the fit of the model was tested in the Validation cohort.

Variables

Age, yrs Male Sex, n BMI, Kg/m² **Diabetes Me HF etiology** Ischemic C Non ischer **Duration of Previous ca** Temporary Inotrope de VAD type HeartWa **HeartMat HeartMat** Jarvik, n Hemoglobin Sodium, mE **Blood Urea** Creatinine, Aspartate A Albumin, g/ **Brain Natriu** LV ejection LV end-diast

No difference observed in baseline/LVAD implant:

- \bullet

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs



RESULTS			
	Responders (n=33)	Non Responders (n=192)	p-value
	49±4	58±1	0.0003
(%)	24 (73)	172 (90)	0.008
2	28±1	29±1	NS
ellitus, n (%)	10 (30)	76 (40)	NS
			0.024
CM, n (%)	9 (27)	93 (48)	
mic CM, n (%)	24 (73)	99 (52)	
HF symptoms, months	49±12	96±6	0.003
rdiac surgery, n (%)	4 (12)	56 (29)	NS
MCS, n (%)	4 (12)	8 (4)	NS
ependent, n (%)	25 (76)	120 (63)	0.05
			NS
re, n (%)	8 (24)	86 (45)	
te ll <i>,</i> n (%)	21 (64)	75 (39)	
te 3, n (%)	3 (9)	9 (5)	
(%)	1 (3)	17 (9)	
n, g/dL	12.0±0.4	12.4±0.2	NS
Eq/L	134±1	135±0	NS
Nitrogen, mg/dL	27±3	32±1	NS
mg/dL	1.37±0.12	1.40±0.03	NS
minotransferase, IU/L	65±14	44±4	0.002
/dL	3.6±0.1	3.7±0.0	0.046
uretic Peptide, pg/mL	1838±300	1251±88	0.03
fraction, %	19±2	20±1	NS
tolic diameter, cm	6.2±0.2	6.8±0.1	0.0008

Heart Failure medications

Hemodynamic parameters

RESULTS

10% (Derivation) of the LVAD patients were R.

Univariate analysis showed that R were:

- \downarrow Age
- \downarrow HF symptoms duration
- \downarrow Diuretics
- ↓ LVEDD
- \uparrow Acuity (vasoactive agents, BNP & AST)

The multivariate Cox regression (AUC=0.74; p<0.001) predicted R using 3 clinical parameters:

- Age <50 years
- HF duration <36 months
- LVEDD <6.9 cm

The model's predictive accuracy was validated in the external Validation cohort (AUC=0.78; p<0.001).

CONCLUSIONS

Younger patients with less LV dilation and shorter duration of HF are more likely to improve their cardiac function during LVAD support.

DISCLOSURES

Stavros Drakos, MD, PhD: Consultant for Abbott Laboratories. None of the other authors have any relationship to disclose Email: jtaleb@u2m2.utah.edu