



Smidt Heart Institute

Orthotopic Heart Transplantation In The Diabetic Patient, Are We Still Worried? A Review Of 852 Consecutive Patients

Dominick Megna, MD, Dominic Emerson, MD, Robert Cole, MD, Ryan Levine, BS, Joanna Chikwe, MD, Fardad Esmailian, MD, Alfredo Trento, MD, Danny Ramzy, MD, PhD, and Jon A. Kobashigawa, MD

Cedars Sinai Smidt Heart Institute, Los Angeles, CA

Abstract

Background: Diabetes is common in heart failure patients and almost a quarter of heart transplant (HTx) recipients are diabetic at 1 year post transplant. The effect of diabetes on transplant outcomes is conflicting. The goal of this study was to examine the impact of diabetes on post-transplant outcomes at a large single center.

Methods: We divided 852 patients at our center transplanted from 2010 to 2018 into those with (n = 274) and without pre-transplant diabetes (n = 578). The diabetic patients were further divided: tight glucose control (HbA1c <5.9. n= 17) and relaxed glucose control (HbA1c >7.0; n = 23) Demographic data and outcomes, including 1-year survival, freedom from cardiac allograft vasculopathy (CAV), freedom from non-fatal major adverse cardiac events (NF-MACE: myocardial infarction, new congestive heart failure, percutaneous coronary intervention, pacemaker/ICD placement, stroke), freedom from rejection (Any treated rejection (ATR), acute cellular rejection (ACR), antibody-mediated rejection (AMR)), freedom from dialysis, and freedom from infection, were compared between groups.

Results: There was no significant difference between groups in 1-year survival, freedom from cardiac allograft vasculopathy, non-fatal major cardiac events, rejection, new-onset dialysis, or infection. There was a numerical difference towards worse 1-year survival in the relaxed glucose control group compared with the tight control group (82.6 v 94.1%; p=0.35). There was no difference in other outcomes between groups.

Conclusion: While pre-transplant diabetes is often considered an important factor in selecting appropriate heart transplant candidates, this large single-center analysis does not demonstrate an association between pre-transplant diabetes and post-transplant outcomes, regardless of the adequacy of glucose control.

Background

- Diabetes is common in heart failure patients and almost a quarter of heart transplant (HTx) recipients are diabetic at 1 year post transplant.
- The effect of diabetes on transplant outcomes is conflicting. The goal of this study was to examine the impact of diabetes on post-transplant outcomes at a large single center.

Purpose

- To assess the impact of diabetes on post-heart transplant outcomes

Demographics

Demographics	Pre-Transplant Diabetes (n=274)	No Pre-Transplant Diabetes (n=578)	P-value
Mean Recipient Age, Years ± SD	58.5 ± 9.2	54.0 ± 13.8	<0.001
Mean Donor Age, Years ± SD	36.7 ± 13.2	34.9 ± 12.7	0.055
Body Mass Index, Mean ± SD	26.3 ± 4.6	24.6 ± 4.5	<0.001
Female (%)	28.8%	29.8%	0.810
Previous Pregnancy in Females (%)	79.7%	70.5%	0.166
Ischemic Time, Mean Mins ± SD	174.8 ± 52.4	171.0 ± 53.7	0.340
Primary Reason For Transplant, Underlying Diagnosis of CAD (%)	43.8%	27.2%	<0.001
Status 1 at Transplant (%)	85.3%	81.4%	0.175
Cytomegalovirus Mismatch (%)	17.9%	24.9%	0.027
Treated Hypertension (%)	67.3%	48.0%	<0.001
Insertion of Mechanical Circulatory Support Device (%)	32.6%	25.9%	0.050
Prior Blood Transfusion (%)	43.8%	38.0%	0.111
Pre-Transplant PRA ≥ 10% (%)	29.5%	32.2%	0.475
Pre-Transplant Creatinine, Mean ± SD	1.7 ± 1.1	1.4 ± 1.1	0.007
ATG Induction Therapy (%)	59.0%	50.3%	0.019

Methods

- We divided 952 patients at our center transplanted from 2010 to 2018 into those with (n = 274) and without pre-transplant diabetes (n = 578).
- The diabetic patients were further divided: tight glucose control (HbA1c <5.9. n= 17) and relaxed glucose control (HbA1c >7.0; n = 23)
- Demographic data and outcomes were compared between groups.
- Endpoints at 1-year included:
 - Survival
 - Freedom from cardiac allograft vasculopathy (CAV)
 - Freedom from non-fatal major adverse cardiac events (NF-MACE: myocardial infarction, new congestive heart failure, percutaneous coronary intervention, pacemaker/ICD placement, stroke)
 - Freedom from rejection (Any treated rejection (ATR), acute cellular rejection (ACR), antibody-mediated rejection (AMR))
 - Freedom from dialysis
 - Freedom from infection

Outcomes

Endpoints	Pre-Transplant Diabetes (n=274)	No Pre-Transplant Diabetes (n=578)	P-value
1-Year Survival	90.9%	91.3%	0.879
1-Year Freedom from CAV	95.6%	94.8%	0.589
1-Year Freedom from NF-MACE	86.1%	87.9%	0.462
1-Year Freedom from ATR	87.5%	84.8%	0.254
1-Year Freedom from ACR	95.6%	92.6%	0.082
1-Year Freedom from AMR	94.1%	95.0%	0.619
1-Year Freedom from Dialysis	81.0%	84.3%	0.245
1-Year Freedom from Infection	32.4%	38.2%	0.095

Endpoints	Tight Glucose Control (n=17)	Relaxed Glucose Control (n=23)	P-value
1-Year Survival	94.1%	82.6%	0.348
1-Year Freedom from CAV	94.1%	95.7%	0.813
1-Year Freedom from NF-MACE	88.2%	82.6%	0.665
1-Year Freedom from ATR	82.4%	82.6%	0.976
1-Year Freedom from ACR	88.2%	95.7%	0.434
1-Year Freedom from AMR	94.1%	91.3%	0.792
1-Year Freedom from Dialysis	70.6%	87.0%	0.129
1-Year Freedom from Infection	23.5%	34.8%	0.185

Results Summary

- There was no significant difference between groups in 1-year survival, freedom from cardiac allograft vasculopathy, non-fatal major cardiac events, rejection, new-onset dialysis, or infection.
- There was a numerical difference towards worse 1-year survival in the relaxed glucose control group compared with the tight control group (82.6 v 94.1%; p=0.35).
- There was no difference in other outcomes between groups.

Conclusion

- While pre-transplant diabetes is often considered an important factor in selecting appropriate heart transplant candidates, this large single-center analysis does not demonstrate an association between pre-transplant diabetes and post-transplant outcomes, regardless of the adequacy of glucose control.

Author Disclosures

F Esmailian has received research grants from TransMedics Inc and is a consultant for Biom Up SA. A Trento has received research grants from Edwards Lifesciences Corporation. D Ramzy has received honoraria from Abiomed, Cardiac Assist Inc, Medtronic Vascular Inc, and Zoll Services LLC and is a consultant/speaker for Abbott Laboratories, Baxter Healthcare, and Intuitive Surgical Inc. J Kobashigawa has received research grants and/or honoraria from CareDx, Inc., Sanofi-Genzyme, CSL-Behringer and One Lambda Inc. and is part of the advisory committee for TransMedics. D Emerson, D Megna, R Cole, R Levine, and J Chikwe have no financial relationships to disclose.