Clinical Outcomes After Tricuspid Annuloplasty Prior to Cardiac Transplantation: A Single Center Experience

Geoffrey Rubin, Joseph Sanchez, Joseph Bayne, Hiroo Takayama, Koji Takeda, Yoshifumi Naka, Hasan Garan, Maryjane A. Farr, Elaine Y. Wan **Columbia University Medical Center**



COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

New York City, New York, USA

☐ NewYork-Presbyterian
The University Hospital of Columbia and Cornell

Introduction	Objectives	Materials and Methods
 Allograft tricuspid regurgitation (TR) occurs frequently after orthotopic heart transplantation (OHT) and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality 	To determine the electrical and	We performed a retrospective chart review of 180 patients who underwent OHT between 2013-2017
 Prophylactic tricuspid repair of the donor heart with DeVega annuloplasty (DVA) improves TR and may decrease the rates of renal failure, tricuspid 	hemodynamic effects of DeVega annuloplasty after heart transplantation	 Patients were classified as DVA (76) and no DVA (104) Electrocardiographic, echocardiographic and hemodynamic data were collected over one year post-OHT
 After incorporating DVA into our surgical transplantation protocol, increased cardiac conduction abnormalities were observed 		 Conduction abnormalities were determined by review of all ECGs between OHT and first endomyocardial biopsy This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Columbia University, New York, NY

Reculte

	No DeVega (n=104)	With DeVega (n=76)	P value		No DeVega (n=96)	With DeVega (n=70)	<i>P</i> value	
Recipient age (yrs)	52.4 ± 1.2	$\textbf{56.5} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	0.017					
Donor age (yrs)	33.2 ± 1.1	$\textbf{35.2} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	0.25	PR interval (ms)	146 ± 2.2	158.6 ± 6.0	0.028	
Male (%)	70 (68%)	56 (78 %)	0.02					
BSA (m ²)	1.9 ± 0.02	1.9 ± 0.02	0.90	QRS interval (ms)	86.8 ± 1.6	105.9 ± 3.1	<0.001	
Ischemic CM (%)	31 (30%)	29 (38%)	0.13			100.0	0.40	
LVAD (%)	69 (66%)	46 (61%)	0.62	QI _c interval (ms)	457.9 ± 5.8	469.6 ± 6.4	0.18	
PVR (mmHg-min/L)	2.8 ± 0.2 n=71	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\pm0.2\\ n=73\end{array}$	0.14	RBBB	9 (9.4%)	26 (37.1%)	<0.001	
Pre-op amiodarone	31 (30%)	24 (32%)	0.82	СНВ	0 (0%)	3 (4.0%)	0.041	
D:R mismatch (%)	30 (29%)	20 (27%)	0.85	PPM	1 (1%)	4 (5.3%)	NS	
Bypass time (min)	$\textbf{174.3} \pm \textbf{6.0}$	$\textbf{148.2} \pm \textbf{5.1}$	0.002					
Crossclamp (min)	89.8 ± 3.2	82.6 ± 2.5	0.12	Table 2. There were significant increases in PR and QRS duration on post-op day 7 and significant increase in RBBB and CHB rate in patients receiving DVA.				
Ischemic time (min	184.5 ± 6.8	168.9 ± 5.8	0.1					

Table 1. Patient demographics and clinical characteristics. DVA patients were older, more often male and had shorter bypass time.



Figure 2. DeVega annuloplasty in a donor heart prior to organ engraftment.



Figure 1. Hemodynamics 1 year after OHT. There was no difference between TR severity, RA pressure or RVSWI in DVA vs. no DVA groups.

ONB

Conclusions

- There are increased conduction abnormalities (RBBB and CHB) early after cardiac transplantation in patients who receive prophylactic DVA •
- Right ventricular function and the degree of TR at12 months are similar between patients with and without DVA •
- Our institution discontinued the use of DVA in the transplant surgical protocol after two years due to the equivocal results of our study.

 Aziz T, Burgess M, Rahman A, et al. Risk factors for tricuspid valve regurgitation after orthotopic heart transplantation. The Annals of Thoracic Surgery. Oct 1999; 68(4):1247-1251. Jeevanandam V, Russell H, Mather P, et al. Donor tricuspid annuloplasty during orthotopic heart transplanation long term results of a prospective controlled study. Ann Thorac Surg. 2006 Dec;82(6):2089-95. Fiorelli AI, Stolf, Abreu Filho, et al. Prophylactic Donor Tricuspid Annuloplasty in Orthotopic Bicaval Heart Transplantation. Transplantation Proceedings. 2007 Oct;39(8):2527-2530.
Transplantation. Transplantation Proceedings. 2007 Oct;39(8):2527-2530.